DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES, (State Bar No.42468) Supervising Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1300 "I" Street, Ste 125 P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5340

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

HAMILTON MOODY
2801 Camino Del Rio So.
Suite 300-H
San Diego, CA 92108-3850
CPA License No. 1890

Respondent.

No. AC-98-29

STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT
AND DECISION

Respondent.

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibility of the Board of Accountancy, State of California ("Board"), the parties submit this Stipulation in Settlement and Decision to the Board for its approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.

The parties stipulate that the following is true:

1. An Accusation is currently pending against Hamilton Moody ("respondent"), before the Board. The Accusation, together with all other statutory

required documents, was duly served on the Respondent. Respondent filed a timely Notice of Defense (contesting the Accusation).

- At all times herein, Respondent has been licensed by the Board of Accountancy under License No. CPA 1890.
- 3. Respondent has retained Guenter S. Cohn, Esquire, as his attorney of record in this matter, and Mr. Cohn has fully advised him of the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and the content and effect of this Stipulation and of the Decision and Order.Respondent understands the charges and allegations of violations of the Business and Professions Code alleged in the Accusation and his rights under the Administrative Procedure Act and the consequences of entering into this Stipulation. After said consultation, Respondent has decided to bind himself to the terms and conditions of this Stipulation.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

- 4. Respondent has read and understands the charges and violations alleged in the Accusation, No. AC-98-29.
- 5. Respondent gives up, relinquishes and waives the right to a hearing, the right to subpoen witnesses and documents on his behalf, the right to cross-examine witnesses, the right to present evidence in his defense, the right to introduce evidence in mitigation, the right to reconsideration and the right to appeal any adverse decision or order, which might be rendered by the Board following an administrative hearing held pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act of the State of California.

6. Respondent understands that as a direct consequence of entering into

- 7. It is understood by respondent and his attorney that, in deciding whether to adopt this Stipulation, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and the Attorney General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board or other persons from future participation in this or any other matter affecting Respondent. In the event this settlement is not adopted by the Board, the Stipulation will not become effective and may not be used for any purpose whatsoever, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in effect.
- 8. On or about April 20, 1998, Respondent had sent a letter of resignation to the Board which was not accepted.
- 9. For purposes of this proceeding, any future proceedings before the parties and any other actions taken by or before any governmental agency responsible for licensing. Notwithstanding this agreement, this Stipulation may not be used as evidence in any civil or criminal proceedings. Respondent admits the following:

BASIS FOR DISCIPLINE

10. Respondent admits that he has subjected his accountancy license (CPA License No. 1890) to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 5100(a),(h), (I) and (j), unprofessional conduct, as follows:

COUNTI

Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under Code section 5100(a) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on or about January 26, 1998, he was convicted upon his guilty plea to violating Penal Code section 487(a), felony, grand

 theft, in the matter entitled *People of the State of California v. Hamilton Moody*, Municipal Court of California, County of San Diego Judicial District, Case No. CD 132149.

The circumstances surrounding respondent's conviction are substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant because it evidences a present and potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his certified public accountant license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare.

The following facts establish the substantial relationship between Respondent's unprofessional conduct and the practice of a certified public accountant:

Respondent and his business, Perpetual Commodity Fund, Inc., were entrusted to manage property for a group of investors. Respondent sold the property without the knowledge of the partner/investors and converted approximately \$ 540,000.00 to his own personal use.

Respondent unlawfully used the proceeds from the sale of the investment property owned by the partnership which consisted of several individuals and Respondent.

The investment property was acquired in approximately 1966 and Respondent was given management responsibility for the property. In 1989, the partnership agreed to sell the property in exchange for monthly interest-only payments with the balance due in 1994. In approximately May of 1993, the buyer paid off the note.

At the completion of the pay off, Respondent received escrow proceeds of approximately \$ 545,511.20. Respondent did not inform the investment partners of the completed sale or of his receipt of the proceeds. Instead, he falsified an extension of

the original note, with a higher interest rate, and initiated an interest-only stream of payment to the partners to avoid detection. When the stream of payments ended in 1995, respondent informed the other investors that the buyer had defaulted and he would begin foreclosure proceedings.

COUNT II

Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under Code section 490 in that on February 9, 1998, he was sentenced for a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a certified public accountant or public accountant.

COUNT III

During the period June 26, 1991, through July 14, 1992, while trustee for the Slaughter Family Trust, the Respondent made unexplained withdrawals from the Trust totaling \$ 245,650.00. The checks were written directly to Respondent, his firm "Moody and Bucher, An Accountancy Corporation" and to an entity owned by Respondent, "San Diego One Touch Sales." The unauthorized withdrawals were used for Respondent's personal use. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code sections 5100 (h), (I) and (j).

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that License No. CPA 1890 issued to Hamilton Moody, is revoked.

1. Cost Recovery.

Should Respondent reapply for his CPA license he shall pay to the Board costs associated with its investigation and enforcement pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107 in the amount of \$7,761.46.

2. Restitution

Respondent shall make restitution to the Slaughter Family Trust. The outstanding balance will be negotiated between the Slaughter Family Trust and Respondent.

I concur in the Stipulation and Order.

Dated: 11-13-98

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California

Ву:

JØEL S. PRIMES, Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I hereby state that I have read and that I understand this document. I understand that I have certain rights under the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws and regulations of the State of California in regard to this matter, and I knowingly and intelligently waive those rights.

My attorney has fully explained to me the legal significance and consequence of this Stipulation, and I fully understand all of, and agree to be bound by, the terms of this document.

I further understand that this Stipulation may not be accepted by the Board of Accountancy, in which case it is of no effect.

I also understand that once I sign this Stipulation, I shall not be permitted to withdraw from it unless it is rejected by the Board of Accountancy.

I agree that a signed facsimile (FAX) of this document shall be as binding as an original signed copy.

Dated: 11/5/98

HAMILTON MOODY CPA License No. 1890

Respondent.

Approved as to Form:

Dated: 11-5-98

LAW OFFICES OF GUENTER S. COHN

GÜENTER S. COHN Attorney at Law

Attorney for Respondent

DECISION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

The foregoing Stipulation and Order in case number AC-98-29, is hereby adopted as the Order of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. An effective date of <u>January 8</u>, 19 99, has been assigned to this Decision and Order.

Made this 9 day of December, 1998.

Board of Accountancy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

c:\dat\primes\moody\stip-settle.1(8.0)

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General ĭ of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES, (State Bar No. 42568) Supervising Deputy Attorney General P.O. Box 944255 1300 I Street, Suite 125 Sacramento, California 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5340) 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 BEFORE THE 7 BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 No. AC-98-29 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 10 ACCUSATION HAMILTON MOODY 11 2801 Camino Del Rio South Suite 300 H 12 San Diego, CA 92108-3850 CPA License Number 1890 13 Respondent. 14 15 Carol Sigmann, for causes for discipline, alleges: 16 Complainant Carol Sigmann makes and files this accusation in her official 17 capacity as Executive Officer, Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of 18 California (hereinafter "Board"). 19 On December 6, 1945, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant 20 License Number 1890 to Hamilton Moody (hereinafter "respondent"). The certificate has 21 expired and been renewed during periods listed in the license history. The certificate was in 22 force and effect at all times listed herein. On August 31, 1998, the certificate expired. 23 Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") section 5100 24 provides, in part, that the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate 25 issued by the Board for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to: 26 Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications,

27

28

functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant."

- "(c) Dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in Section 5052."
 - "(h) Fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind."
- "(i) Knowing preparation, publication or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports, or information."
- "(j) Embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or obtaining money, property, or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses."
- 4. Code section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a certified public accountant.
- 5. Code section 118(b) provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Under Business and Professions Code section 5070.6, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within five years after its expiration.
- 6. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 99, provides, in pertinent part, that a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a certified public accountant or public accountant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a certified public accountant or public accountant to perform the functions authorized by his certificate or permit in any manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but not be limited to those involving the following:
 - "(a) Fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind."
- "(c) Dishonesty, fraud or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in section 5052 of the Code."
- 7. Code section 5107 provides, in pertinent part, that the Executive Officer may request the administrative law judge to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found

in

guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 5100 to pay all reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, including, but not limited to, attorney fees.

COUNT I

8. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under Code section 5100(a) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on or about January 26, 1998, he was convicted upon his guilty plea to violating Penal Code section 487(a), felony, grand theft, in the matter entitled *People of the State of California* v. *Hamilton Moody*, Municipal Court of California, County of San Diego Judicial District, Case No. CD 132149.

The circumstances surrounding respondent's conviction are substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant because it evidences a present and potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his certified public accountant license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare.

The following facts establish the substantial relationship between respondent's unprofessional conduct and the practice of a certified public accountant:

Respondent and his business, Perpetual Commodity Fund, Inc., were entrusted to manage property for a group of investors. Respondent sold the property without the knowledge of the partner/investors and converted approximately \$540,000.00 to his own personal use. Respondent unlawfully used the proceeds from the sale of the investment property owned by the partnership which consisted of several individuals and respondent.

The investment property was acquired in approximately 1966 and respondent was given management responsibility for the property. In 1989, the partnership agreed to sell the property in exchange for monthly interest-only payments with the balance due in 1994. In approximately May of 1993, the buyer paid off the note.

At the completion of the pay off, respondent received escrow proceeds of approximately \$545,511.20. Respondent did not inform the investment partners of the completed sale or of his receipt of the proceeds. Instead, he falsified an extension for the original note, with a higher interest rate, and initiated an interest-only stream of payment to the partners

to avoid detection. When the stream of payments ended in 1995, respondent informed the other investors that the buyer had defaulted and he would begin foreclosure proceedings.

COUNT II

9. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under Code section 490 in that on February 9, 1998, he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a certified public accountant or public accountant, as set forth in paragraph 8.

COUNT III

EMBEZZLEMENT: BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 5100(J)

During the period June 26, 1991, through July 14, 1992, while trustee for the Slaughter Family Trust, respondent made unexplained withdrawals from the Trust totaling \$245,650.00. The checks were written directly to respondent, his firm "Moody and Bucher, An Accountancy Corporation" and to an entity owned by respondent, "San Diego One Touch Sales." Respondent caused the Slaughter Family Trust to fail to pay inheritance taxes. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 5100(j) when he embezzled Trust funds as outlined herein.

COUNT IV

FISCAL DISHONESTY AND BREACH OF FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY: BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 5100(H)

A. Unauthorized Use of Trust Funds

During the period June 26, 1991, through July 14, 1992, while sole trustee for the Slaughter Family Trust, respondent made unauthorized withdrawals from the family Trust for his own personal use. Trustee powers granted in the Trust Agreement did not include personal use of Trust funds by a trustee. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 5100(h) when he breached his fiduciary responsibilities engaged in fiscal dishonesty and withdrew Trust monies and used them for his own personal use.

B. Failure to Pay Estate Taxes.

In a letter to the Trust beneficiaries, dated June 25, 1991, respondent represented that the estimated balance due for estate taxes was approximately \$280,000.00 and that amount was held in reserve for that purpose. Respondent drafted a transmittal letter to the IRS (dated September 19, 1991) for final payment of the tax balances due for the Slaughter estates, totaling \$281,414.00. Respondent prepared two checks payable to the IRS, dated September 19, 1991, in the amounts indicated in the transmittal letter. The letter and two checks were never mailed to the IRS.

On May 9, 1997, the IRS issued a notice to respondent that the deceased mother's estate was in default \$98,306.00 because yearly payments of tax and interest were not made in a timely manner. On May 23, 1997, the IRS issued notice to respondent that the deceased father's estate was in default \$76,526.00 because yearly payments of tax and interest were not paid in a timely manner.

On September 30, 1997, an IRS tax lien was levied against property in which the Slaughter Family Trust held an interest. The lien was for \$98,306.00 which represented the unpaid taxes, interest, and penalties due for the deceased mother's estate. The family was unaware of the lien until the property was sold.

Respondent's failure to pay estate taxes constituted unprofessional conduct, fiscal dishonesty and breach of fiduciary responsibility pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5100(h).

COUNT V

PREPARATION AND DISSEMINATION OF FALSE AND MATERIALLY MISLEADING FINANCIAL INFORMATION: BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 5100(I)

In a June 25, 1991, letter, respondent informed the Slaughter family members of an estimated \$280,000.00 being held in reserve for payment of the balance of estate taxes then due and payable. However, on June 26, 1991, respondent made the first of several withdrawals

c:\dat\primes\moody\accusation-2(8.0)

over a one-year period. Each withdrawal was for respondent's own personal use of the Trust funds.

Certain installment payments were made between January 1991 and July 1994.

Respondent did not pay the balance of the due taxes. Respondent's failures as outlined herein constituted unprofessional conduct when he knowingly prepared false, fraudulent and materially misleading financial statements, reports, information and correspondence to the Slaughter family members. Respondent's actions constituted unprofessional conduct pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5100(i) and U.S. Treasury Department Circular No. 230, section 10.22 (diligence used in preparing, assisting, approving, and filing returns, documents, affidavits, and other papers relating to Internal Revenue Service matters).

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held and that the Board make its order:

- 1. Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing a discipline upon Certified Public Accountant License Number 1890 to Hamilton Moody;
- 2. Ordering Hamilton Moody to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys fees, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as the Board deems necessary and

DATED: September 23, 1998

CAROL SIGMANN, Executive Officer

Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant